

Molecular systematics of *Brassica* and allied genera (Subtribe Brassicinae, Brassiceae) – chloroplast DNA variation in the genus *Diplotaxis*

S. I. Warwick¹, L. D. Black¹, and I. Aguinagalde²

¹ Biosystematics Research Center, Agriculture Canada, Research Branch, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A OC6, Canada

² Department of Plant Biology, E.T.S.I. Agronomos, Polytechnic University, E-Madrid 28040, Spain

Received May 31, 1991; Accepted July 9, 1991 Communicated by R. Hagemann

Summary. Chloroplast DNA restriction site variation for 17 endonucleases was surveyed for the large single-copy region of the genome in 26 taxa of the genus Diplotaxis and compared with previously mapped site mutations in other members of the Subtribe Brassicinae (Tribe Brassiceae, Cruciferae). A total of 259 restriction site and length mutations were observed, 206 (80%) of which showed variation at the interspecific level. Phylogenetic analysis indicated a clear division of the genus Diplotaxis into the same two lineages for the Subtribe Brassicinae, described previously by Warwick and Black as Rapa/ Oleracea and Nigra. Levels of genetic divergence and taxon groupings suggested by the restriction site variation were highly consistent with previously recognized cytodemes or crossing groups and the geographical distribution of Diplotaxis taxa. However, the data were inconsistent with the morphologically based taxonomic delimitation of the genus, certain subgeneric circumscriptions, and even species delimitation in the case of D. virgata. Diplotaxis species were separated into three major groups in each of the two lineages. In the Rapa/ Oleracea lineage, Group A included D. erucoides ssp. erucoides and D. cossoniana. Group B included two subgroups: B (i) D. tenuifolia, D. cretacea, and D. simplex and B (ii) D. harra. Group C included D. viminea and D. muralis. Within the Nigra lineage, group D included D. siettiana, D. ibicensis, D. brevisiliqua, and D. gomezcampoi. Group E included D. brachycarpa. Group F included three subgroups: F (i) D. assurgens, D. tenuisiliqua, an accession of D. virgata from southern Morocco (DVA), and D. siifolia; F (ii) D. berthautii and D. virgata f. sahariensis; and F (iii) D. catholica, D. catholica var. rivulorum, D. virgata ssp. virgata. These groups often showed greater genetic closeness to other species from other genera in the Subtribe than to other species of Diplotaxis.

Key words: *Diplotaxis* – Subtribe Brassicinae – Chloroplast DNA – Restriction site variation – Molecular systematics

Introduction

Both chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) (e.g., Erickson et al. 1983; Palmer et al. 1983; Yanagino et al. 1987; Warwick and Black 1991) and nuclear DNA (Song et al. 1988a, b, 1990; Hosaka et al. 1990) studies have contributed to a clarification of genetic relationships among Brassica and allied genera. Based on cpDNA restriction site variation, Warwick and Black (1991) provided evidence for two distinct lineages in Subtribe Brassicinae (including the genera Brassica, Coincya, Diplotaxis, Eruca, Erucastrum, Hirschfeldia, Raphanus, and Sinapis). The two lineages, designated Rapa/Oleracea and Nigra, appear to have diverged early in the evolution of the subtribe, and prior to the evolution of distinct cytodemes. In addition, levels of genetic divergence in the chloroplast genome were found to be highly consistent with previously recognized cytodeme or crossing groups in the subtribe (Harberd 1976; Takahata and Hinata 1983), but provided evidence for the polyphyletic nature of both *Brassica* and *Sinapis* (Warwick and Black 1991).

The objective of the present study was to test proposed species and cytodeme relationships within *Diplotaxis* and to examine their evolutionary relationship to other taxa in Subtribe Brassicinae using cpDNA restriction site variation. The generic delimitation of the genus *Diplotaxis* and its relationship with its nearest relatives in the subtribe Brassicinae have not been established adequately (Al-Shehbaz 1985). Genera are defined on the basis of few morphological traits; for example, *Diplo*- taxis is separated from Brassica primarily on the basis of biseriate, small, generally ovoid or ellipsoidal seeds; in Brassica seeds are usually uniseriate, larger, and globose (Schulz 1919; Tutin et al. 1964; Maire 1965; Al-Shebaz 1985). However, boundaries between the two genera, become less sharply defined as a few Brassica species have biseriate or at least subbiseriate seeds (Tutin et al. 1964; Al-Shebaz 1985). Cytogenetically, Diplotaxis is a component of the Brassica "coenospecies," i.e., taxa capable of artificial hybridization with the six cultivated species of Brassica as well as other genera in the subtribe Brassicinae: Coincya, Erucastrum, Hirschfeldia, Sinapidendron, and Sinapis (Harberd 1976; Harberd and McArthur 1980; Takahata and Hinata 1983). Given the morphological and hybridization data, and the results from earlier molecular systematic studies of Brassica and Sinapis, it was therefore important to study the interspecific relationships of species of Diplotaxis, not only to members of the same genus, but to other closely related genera.

Diplotaxis contains more than 20 species and is mainly distributed in central Europe and the Mediterranean region, particularly in northwest Africa (Schulz 1919; Maire 1965; Greuter et al. 1986; Martínez-Laborde 1988). It has been postulated as basal to the rest of the Brassiceae, as nearly all of the presumed primitive morphological characters for the tribe are present (Gómez-Campo 1980). The morphological characters used to define infrageneric groups in Diplotaxis are often highly variable (Al-Shehbaz 1985), and taxonomic treatments of the genus vary, ranging from two up to five subgeneric and sectional divisions (Schulz 1919; Maire 1965; Martínez-Laborde 1988). One tetraploid and 12 diploid cytodemes have been described in the genus (Harberd 1976; Harberd and McArthur 1980; Takahata and Hinata 1983). There is a continuous series of haploid numbers, including n = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 13, with one alloploid species with n = 21 (Table 1, Gómez-Campo and Hinata 1980).

Materials and methods

Plant material

The sources of seeds and chromosome number for each of the taxa included in the study are given in Table 1. Three to six plants were grown in a greenhouse from seeds of each accession. The identity of voucher specimens of mature plants of each accession was verified by the senior author and deposited in the Vascular Plant Herbarium, Biosystematics Research Center, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa. DNAs were obtained from single plants in each accession. All sections of *Diplotaxis* were represented with the exception of Sect. *Hesperidium* O. E. Schulz [includes *D. acris* (Forsk.) Boiss. and *D. griffithii* (Hook f. & Thoms.) Boiss.], for which seed was not available.

Molecular methods

Procedural methods for total cellular DNA extraction, purification, restriction enzyme digestion, filter hybridization, radioactive probing, and autoradiography followed Warwick and Black (1991), except that DNA was digested with each of 17 restriction endonucleases (Appendix). Filters were sequentially probed with 17 clones from the chloroplast genome of *Brassica juncea*. These represent the large single-copy region of the chloroplast genome or approximately 75% of the genome. Restriction site variation for each of the endonucleases was compared with restriction site maps and site and length mutations given in Fig. 1 and Appendix, respectively, in Warwick and Black (1991). All new sites observed were designated with a number corresponding to the previously mapped site immediately to the left and a letter A, B, or C.

In the phylogenetic analysis each mutation was treated as a two-state variable. Phylogenetic analysis of species relationships was conducted using the computer program "Phylogenetic Analysis Using Wagner Parsimony" (PAUP), version 2.4 (Options: Hold=1, Swap=Alternate, Addseq=Closest, and Mulpars; Rooting=Midpoint), developed by D. L. Swofford (Illinois Natural History Survey). The shortest phylogenetic tree(s) were calculated on the basis of all mutations that were shared by two or more taxa; shared mutations not evident in *Diplotaxis*, but included in the analyses, are as given in Warwick and Black (1991).

Results and discussion

In the *Diplotaxis* taxa surveyed, 259 mutations, including both site and length mutations, were observed (Appendix), with 206 (80%) of these showing variation at the species level. In the present study, very low levels of variation, i.e., 0-0.01%, were found among plants of an accession or a given species (with the exception of *D. virgata*).

The number of mutations unique to a single species is indicated in brackets on the terminal branch points. Ten equally parsimonious trees were obtained (available from the authors on request); these were very similar and showed consistent topologies in terms of both species of Diplotaxis included within each of the two lineages and the relative major taxon groupings within each lineage (Groups A to F). A composite tree is represented in Fig. 1. Parallel evolution of several cpDNA mutations (both reversals and convergent parallelisms) appears to have occurred independently in the separate lineages. These affect the consistency index of the tree in Fig. 1 (=0.45), such that when each lineage was analyzed separately, fewer trees were obtained (three and five for the Nigra and Rapa/Oleracea lineages, respectively) and markedly increased consistency indices were obtained (=0.60). Again, these trees consistently showed the same major Diplotaxis taxon groupings in each lineage. Results are available from authors on request.

Phylogenetic analysis indicated a clear division of the genus *Diplotaxis* into the two evolutionary lineages for the Subtribe Brassicinae, described previously by Warwick and Black (1991) as Rapa/Oleracea and Nigra lineages (Fig. 1, Table 1). Among the 26 taxa of *Diplotaxis* surveyed, three major groups of *Diplotaxis* taxa were



Fig. 1. Selected phylogenetic tree for the genus Diplotaxis and related taxa in the Subtribe Brassicinae, based on PAUP analyses of the chloroplast DNA restriction site/length mutations in Appendix, which are shared by two or more taxa/accessions. Tree length is steps, consistency index 0.45. Tree topology indicates how accessions are related, and branch length (numbers above the branches) indicates the minimal number of mutational steps occurring during the evolution of a particular taxon. Mutations unique to a given species are indicated in brackets at end of branch and should be added to determine terminal branch length. ANC shows the common hypothetical ancestor; n =haploid chromosome number

seen in each of the lineages. As was found in earlier cpDNA studies for the subtribe (Warwick and Black 1991), levels of genetic divergence and groupings of taxa were highly consistent with previously recognized cytodemes. However, the data were inconsistent with the morphologically based taxonomic delimitation of the genus, certain subgeneric circumscriptions, and even species delimitation in the case of *D. virgata*.

Rapa/Oleracea lineage

The species of *Diplotaxis* did not form a monophyletic group in the lineage, but were separated into three major groups (Groups A, B, and C) (Table 1, Fig. 1). Group A

included *D. erucoides* and *D. cossoniana*. Group B included two subgroups: (i) *D. tenuifolia*, *D. cretacea*, and *D. simplex* and (ii) *D. harra*. Group C included *D. viminea* and *D. muralis*.

The two n = 7 species surveyed, *Diplotaxis erucoides* and *D. cossoniana*, formed a distinct cpDNA group (Group A). The latter taxa has recently been given subspecific rank, i.e. *D. erucoides* ssp. *longisiliqua* (Cosson) Gómez-Campo (Gómez-Campo 1981) and *D. erucoides* ssp. *cossoniana* (Reut.) Mart.-Lab. (Martínez-Laborde 1991 a). Morphologically, the two taxa are separated primarily on the basis of petal color, nervation patterns on petals, and fruit size (Schulz 1919; Maire 1965; Gómez-Campo 1981; Martínez-Laborde 1988). Geographically, **Table 1.** Taxa grouped according to two cpDNA lineages and cpDNA groups A to F as in Fig. 1, taxa code, chromosome number (n), and source of seed for plant material included in this study. DNAs were obtained from single plant selections of each accession

Taxa ^a	Code	n ^b	Source [°]
Rapa/Oleracea lineage			
Group A			
D. erucoides (L.) DC. ssp. erucoides Accession 1	DEE DEE1 DEE2	7	BGS No. 330 (BCN 3463)
Accession 2 $D_{\text{cosponiang}}(\mathbf{P}_{\text{eut}}) \cap \mathbf{E}_{\text{schulz}}$	DEE2	7	GCC 2659 75 (BCN 7009)
D. cossonana (Reut.) O. E. Senuiz	DCS	/	Gee 3039-75 (Bein 7010)
Group B			
Subgroup B (i)	DŤ		
D. tenuifolia (L.) DC.	DT DT1	11	BCD: No. 154 (BCN 2446)
Accession 2	DT^2	11	GCC 0980-66 (BCN 7000)
D. cretacea Kotov.	DC	**	
Accession 1	DC1	11	GCC 4189-76 (BCN 7001)
Accession 2	DC2	11	BGV No. 1742 (BCN 3510)
D. simplex (Viv.) Sprengl	DSX	11	GCC 1931-71 (BCN 7002)
Subgroup B (ii)			
D. harra (Forsk.) Boiss.	DH	13	
ssp. harra	DHH	13	GCC 1472-68 (BCN 7005)
ssp. crassifolia (Raf.) Maire	DHC	13	GCC 5966-81 (BCN 7007)
ssp. lagascana (DC.) O. Bolos & Vigo	DHG	13	GCC 0913-66 (BCN 7008)
Accession: Algeria	DHF	13	GCC 1831-70 (BCN 7006)
Group C			
D. viminea (L.) DC.	DV	10	GCC 2108-76 (BCN 7003)
D. muralis (L.) DC.	DM	21	GCC 0990-68 (BCN 7004)
Nigra lineage			
Group D			
D. siettiana Maire	DST	8	GCC 3025-76 (BCN 7012)
D. brevisiliqua (Coss.) MartLab.	DBV	8	GCC 7517-87 (BCN 7013)
D. gomez-campol MartLab. D. ibicansis (Font Over) Gómez-Campo	DGC	8	GCC 4065-76 (BCN 7014)
D. incensis (Font Quer) Gomez-Campo	DID	o	GCC 3437-78 (BCN 7011)
Group E			
D. brachycarpa Godr.	DBR	9	GCC 6467-84 (BCN 7017)
Group F			
Subgroup F (i)			
D. assurgens (Del.) Gren.	DAS	9	GCC 1120-67 (BCN 7015)
D. tenuisiliqua Del.	DTT	9	GCC 1123-67 (BCN 7025)
D. virgata (Cav.) DC.			
Accession S. Morocco	DVA	9	GCC 3003-74 (BCN 7019)
D. siifolia G. Kunze	DS		
ssp. <i>siifolia</i>	DSS	10	GCC 1447-68 (BCN 7023)
var. bipinnatifida Cosson	DSB	10	GCC 2970-74 (BCN 7024)
Subgroup F (ii)			
D. virgata (Cav.) DC. f. sahariensis Cosson	DVS	9	GCC 5545-80 (BCN 7021)
D. berthautii BrBl. & Maire	DBE	9	GCC 1079-67 (BCN 7016)
Subgroup F (iii)			
D. catholica (L.) DC.	1	2	
ssp. catholica	DCA	9	GCU 1390-68 (BCN 7018)
val. rivulorum (DrDl. & Maire) Maire	DUK	9	GCC 0052 (C (DCN 7020)
D. virgaia (Cav.) DC. ssp. virgaia	DV V	У	GUU 0932-00 (BUN 7022)

^a The following taxa are listed in the germplasm publication from GCC (Gómez-Campo 1990) under the following names: DCS – *D. erucoides* (L.) DC. spp. *cossoniana* (Reut.) Mart.-Lab.; DHF – *D. harra* (Forsk.) Boiss. ssp. *numidica* Mart.-Lab.; DVA – *D. virgata* (Cav.) DC. ssp. *australis* Mart.-Lab.; and DVS – *D. virgata* (Cav.) DC. ssp. *sahariensis* Mart.-Lab.

⁶ Chromosome numbers are from Gómez-Campo and Hinata (1980) and Martínez-Laborde (1988)

^c BGPa=Botanical Garden, Pavia University, Italy; BGS=Botanical Garden, Salzburg University, Austria; BGV=Botanical Garden, Ecological and Botanical Inst., Vacratot, Hungary; GCC=Gómez-Campo Coll., E.T.S.I.A., Madrid, Spain. Note: Collection number on herbarium labels for specimens deposited at Herbarium, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, indicated either by GCC collection number or BCN number for collections from other sources

D. cossoniana is restricted to Algeria and Morocco, while *D. erucoides* has a much wider distribution in Europe and the Mediterranean region (Tutin et al. 1964; Gómez-Campo 1981; Greuter et al. 1986; Martínez-Laborde 1988). Although *D. cossoniana* was not studied by Takahata and Hinata (1983), studies by Gómez-Campo (1981) suggested that breeding barriers between the taxa are primarily genetic in nature. In sympatric areas of their range, interfertility was very low and hybrids were completely sterile. Our cpDNA data is consistent with species rank and separate cytodeme status for the two taxa.

The three taxa in the *D. tenuifolia* cpDNA subgroup (n=11), D. tenuifolia, D. cretacea, and D. simplex, are in the same cytodeme (Harberd 1976; Takahata and Hinata 1983; Martínez-Laborde 1990). Diplotaxis tenuifolia and D. cretacea are very similar morphologically. The latter taxon differs primarily by having pinnatifid/bipinnatifid leaves and an annual/biennial growth habit (although occasionally it is a short-lived perennial), as compared with D. tenuifolia, which is characterized by entire/pinnatifid leaves and a perennial growth habit (Tutin et al. 1964; Martínez-Laborde 1988). Geographically, D. cretacea is a narrow endemic in eastern Europe-N.E. Ukraine and adjacent parts of Russia (Tutin et al. 1964), compared to the more widespread and primarily European distribution of D. tenuifolia (Schulz 1919; Tutin et al. 1964; Maire 1965; Greuter et al. 1986; Martínez-Laborde 1988). The low levels of cpDNA divergence, the morphological similarity, and the geographical separation of these two taxa are more consistent with subspecific rather than specific rank. Diplotaxis simplex is also an annual, but can be distinguished from the latter two taxa by having a decumbent rather than an erect growth habit, and suberect to patent siliques as compared with suberect to erect siliques (Schulz 1919; Maire 1965; Martínez-Laborde 1988). In addition, D. simplex is separated geographically, occurring in Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, and Egypt (Maire 1965; Greuter et al. 1986; Martínez-Laborde 1988). Morphologically, D. simplex is most similar to the alloploid D. muralis, and this is reflected by the placement of the latter two taxa and D. viminea in Section Anocarpum by Schulz (1919).

The sister group to the *D. tenuifolia*/*D. simplex* subgroup (n=11) was the *D. harra* subgroup (n=13). *Diplotaxis harra* and *D. crassifolia* (Raf.) DC. belong to the same cytodeme in the subtribe (Harberd 1976; Takahata and Hinata 1983). Little divergence of the chloroplast genome was detected among the four accessions of *D. harra*, consistent with the subspecific taxonomic ranking assigned to these taxa (Maire 1965; Bòlos and Vigo 1974; Martínez-Laborde 1991 b). Geographically, *D. harra* ssp. *harra* is widely distributed across northern Africa and the Middle East, while the other three subspecies have restricted distributions, i.e., ssp. *crassifolia* – Sicily, ssp. *lagascana* – Spain, and accession DHF – Algeria (Tutin et al. 1964; Greuter et al. 1986; Martínez-Laborde 1988).

No mutational differences were observed within the group containing D. viminea and D. muralis. Diplotaxis viminea (n=10) is considered a separate cytodeme (Harberd 1976; Takahata and Hinata 1983). Previously recognized as one parent of the alloploid D. muralis (n=10+11) (Harberd and McArthur 1972), the cpDNA data confirmed D. viminea as the maternal parent. Their geographical distribution in Europe and the Mediterranean region is similar (Tutin et al. 1964; Greuter et al. 1986; Martínez-Laborde 1988). The other (paternal) parent for D. muralis is considered to be D. tenuifolia (Harberd and McArthur 1972). Preliminary nuclear ribosomal DNA studies (S. I. Warwick and L. D. Black, in preparation) suggest that D. simplex of the D. tenuifolia/ D. simplex (n = 11) cytodeme is a more likely parent. This finding is consistent with morphological data, wherein D. muralis is very similar to D. simplex (Schulz 1919; Maire 1965; Martínez-Laborde 1988).

The cpDNA data tended to support (with the exception of D. simplex) the morphologically based subgeneric taxonomic classification of Schulz (1919). The latter placed D. tenuifolia and D. harra in Sect. Catocarpum DC. em. O. E. Schulz and D. viminea, D. muralis, and D. simplex in Sect. Anocarpum DC. The cpDNA data were less supportive of Martínez-Laborde's (1988) taxonomic treatment, which proposes the placement of D. harra in a separate subgenus from D. tenuifolia, D. cretacea, D. simplex, D. viminea, and D. muralis. The cpDNA data were inconsistent with the placement of D. erucoides and D. cossoniana within Sect. Rhynchocarpum (Schulz 1919), along with the remaining Diplotaxis taxa observed to be in the Nigra lineage. Two of the cpDNA groups showed a high similarity to species clusters recognized in Diplotaxis by Takahata and Hinata (1986) on the basis of numerical morphological studies; for example, D. harra and the D. tenuifolia/D. simplex cpDNA subgroups correspond to clusters D-3 and D-4, respectively.

Nigra lineage

The species of *Diplotaxis* did not form a monophyletic group in the lineage, but were separated into three major groups (Groups D, E, and F) (Table 1, Fig. 1). Group D included *D. siettiana*, *D. ibicensis*, *D. brevisiliqua*, and *D. gomez-campoi*. Group E included *D. brachycarpa*. Group F included three subgroups: F (i) *D. assurgens*, *D. tenuisiliqua*, an accession of *D. virgata* from southern Morocco (DVA), and *D. siifolia*; F (ii) *D. berthautii* and *D. virgata* f. sahariensis; and F (iii) *D. catholica*, *D. catholica* var. rivulorum, *D. virgata* ssp. virgata.

The four taxa in the *D. ibicensis*/*D. siettiana* cpDNA group (Group D), n = 8, form a continuum geographical-

ly, with each taxon occupying a narrow region in the western Mediterranean: D. siettiana - Alboran Island; D. ibicensis - Balearic Islands; D. gomez-campoi -Spanish mainland; and D. brevisiliqua - Algeria and Tunisia (Gómez-Campo 1981; Martínez-Laborde 1988). The genetic closeness of these four taxa has been confirmed in recent morphological studies by Martínez-Laborde (1988), who placed them in a separate section in the genus. Diplotaxis siettiana has been given separate cytodeme status, but the other three taxa were not included in the studies of Takahata and Hinata (1983). Only one mutational difference in the cpDNA genome was detected among D. siettiana, D. brevisiliqua, and D. gomez-campoi. The cpDNA data is consistent with subspecific rank for these taxa. Specific rank has also only recently been suggested for the latter two taxa by Martínez-Laborde (1988, 1991c). Crossing studies by Gómez-Campo (1981) indicated that limited hybrid production was possible between D, siettiana and D, ibicensis, but only if the former taxa served as the maternal parent. Hybrids were almost completely sterile. Gómez-Campo (1981) suggested that "D. siettiana might be seen as an extreme variation of the polymorphic D. ibicensis which has become fixed by geographic isolation," but they are sufficiently divergent morphologically to retain species status. The degree of cpDNA and morphological divergence, geographic separation, and reproductive isolation is therefore consistent with specific rank for D. siettiana and D. ibicensis and their placement in a single cytodeme.

The chloroplast genome of *Diplotaxis brachycarpa* (Group E) was distinct from other species of *Diplotaxis*. This taxon is also an anomaly in the genus because of its unusual fruit morphology (Schulz 1919). Data on its cytodeme status is not available.

Group F included three subgroups: (i) D. assurgens, D. tenuisiliqua, an accession of D. virgata from southern Morocco (DVA), and D. siifolia; (ii) D. berthautii and D. virgata f. sahariensis; and (iii) D. catholica, D. catholica var. rivulorum, and D. virgata ssp. virgata. In subgroup F (i), no mutational differences were detected between D. assurgens and D. tenuisiliqua, and only a single mutational difference was observed in an accession of D. virgata from southern Morocco (DVA). Note that the latter accession has been recognized as a new subspecies of D. virgata (Martínez-Laborde 1988). The three taxa above (all n = 9) and D. siifolia (n = 10) were sister groups in the analysis. These taxa share a unique trait in the genus: a purple spot on the top of the anther (Martínez-Laborde 1988). Geographically they form a continuum along the coast of the Iberian peninsula and Morocco (Greuter et al. 1986; Martínez-Laborde 1988).

Subgroup F (ii) included D: virgata f. sahariensis and D. berthautii, each with very distinct cpDNA genomes and n=9 chromosomes. The former taxon is endemic to

the interior of Algeria and Morocco and the latter to western Morocco. CpDNA data support specific rank for each and strongly suggest that they are likely distinct cytodemes. The cytodeme status of *D. berthautii* is reported in the literature as uncertain and had been provisionally included in the *D. siifolia* cytodeme by Takahata and Hinata (1983).

Subgroup F (iii) included *D. catholica* ssp. *catholica* and var. *rivulorum* and *D. virgata* ssp. *virgata*. The three taxa (all n=9) shared a very distinct chloroplast genome, differing among each other by only four mutations. Morphologically, all three taxa are very similar, with *D. catholica* ssp. *catholica* distinguished primarily by the presence of hooded sepals, which is likely a single-gene trait (Schulz 1919; Maire 1965; Martínez-Laborde 1988). The distribution ranges of *D. catholica* ssp. *catholica* and *D. virgata* ssp. *virgata* are sympatric throughout Spain and Portugal, with the former taxa just extending into Morocco, while *D. catholica* var. *rivulorum* is endemic to Morocco (Tutin et al. 1964; Greuter et al. 1986; Martínez-Laborde 1988).

All Diplotaxis taxa included in the Nigra lineage are members of Sect. Rhynchocarpum Prantl. em. O. E. Schulz, with the exception of D. erucoides and D. cossoniana, as indicated previously (Schulz 1919), which is equivalent to subgenus Rhynchocarpum Sect. Rhynchocarpum proposed by Martínez-Laborde (1988). The molecular data was also consistent with the separate sectional recognition proposed by Martínez-Laborde (1988) for: (i) the D. ibicensis/D. siettiana and (ii) the D. erucoides and D. cossoniana cpDNA groups. The D. assurgens/D. siifolia cpDNA group was similar to cluster D-1 recognized in numerical morphological studies of the subtribe by Takahata and Hinata (1986).

Taxonomy of subtribe Brassicinae

The placement of *Diplotaxis* species in the two distinct lineages of the subtribe indicates a polyphyletic status for the genus as currently circumscribed, similar to that described for *Brassica* and *Sinapis* (Warwick and Black 1991). Further polyphyly for the genus was indicated by separation of taxa into three major groups in each of the two lineages. Similar to the results suggested from numerical taxonomic studies of the subtribe by Takahata and Hinata (1986), these cpDNA groups of *Diplotaxis* species often showed greater genetic closeness to taxa in other genera (Fig. 1).

In the Rapa/Oleracea lineage, *D. erucoides* and *D. cossoniana* (Group A) were advanced in the lineage and very closely related to *Brassica rapa* and *B. oleracea* with its wild relatives. The genetic closeness of *D. erucoides* to *B. oleracea* had been indicated by hybrid formation and meiotic analyses (Mizushima 1980). The *D. tenuifolia/D. simplex* and *D. harra* cpDNA groups

(Group B) formed a separate distinct group in the lineage. Diplotaxis viminea and D. muralis (Group C) were most closely aligned to B. gravinae. Eruca sativa remained a distinct group in this lineage.

In the Nigra lineage, the D. ibicensis/D. siettiana cpDNA group (Group D) was most closely related to Brassica nigra, B. fruticulosa, Sinapis arvensis, and S. alba. Diplotaxis brachycarpa (Group E) was most closely related to a group of taxa that included Sinapis pubescens, Hirschfeldia incana, and B. tournefortii. Both Diplotaxis brachycarpa and Sinapis pubescens have n = 9chromosomes and their distribution ranges overlap in Algeria, where the latter is endemic (Schulz 1919; Greuter et al. 1986). Group F (D. assurgens, D. catholica, D. siifolia) exhibited a close genetic relationship with *Erucastrum canariense* (n=9), an endemic to the Canary Islands. The proposed relationship of the Macaronesian taxa of Erucastrum and adjacent continental taxa of Diplotaxis is of interest, as a genetic closeness between these groups has been suggested from numerical, morphologically based studies of Takahata and Hinata (1986), who indicated that "although belonging to different genera, their relationship was as close as if they were within the same genus." Gómez-Campo (1984) also reported on the taxonomic confusion often arising between these taxa as the result of their similarity in vegetative and floral characters. Coincya formed a distinct group in the Nigra lineage.

Taxonomic realignment at both the generic and subtribal levels that would more accurately reflect genetic 845

relationships was discussed in Warwick and Black (1991). The molecular data presented in this paper are consistent with the expansion of the genus Brassica to include related genera in the subtribe, recognition of the two lineages as subgenera and major groups of taxa within each lineage as sections. Percent cpDNA divergence across the two lineages is ca. 3%, and is consistent with values for other genera (Palmer 1987). Further studies are in progress to test the placement of remaining genera and cytodemes in the subtribe, a requirement before its taxonomic revision is undertaken.

In conclusion, the restriction site variation in the chloroplast genome of *Diplotaxis* is consistent with the reported high congruence between the cpDNA taxon groups and recognized cytodemes or crossing groups in subtribe Brassicinae (Warwick and Black 1991), and with phytogeography of the genus. Chloroplast DNA data are of value in delimiting cytodemes (nuclear genomic relationships), detecting potential new breeding material, evaluating wild germplasm collections, and prioritizing future collecting needs.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Dr. J. Palmer, University of Indiana, for providing original clones of Brassica juncea cpDNA; Dr. Gómez-Campo, E.T.S.I.A., Madrid, for providing seed material; and for critical reviews of the manuscript by: Dr. S. Molnar, Plant Research Center, Agriculture Canada; Dr. E. Small and Mr. S. Darbyshire, Biosystematics Research Center; and Drs. C. Gómez-Campo and J. B. Martínez-Laborde, E.T.S.I.A., Madrid. The latter is gratefully acknowledged for his permission to make reference to his unpublished thesis.

Appendix

Chloroplast DNA restriction site and length mutations in the genus Diplotaxis for each of 17 restriction endonucleases. For each mutation, taxa listed exhibit fragment pattern to right of hyphen; i.e., two fragments separated by symbol+indicate a site gain, whereas a single fragment, a site loss. Taxa codes for *Diplotaxis* are given in Table 1. Symbols DAT (= DAS, DTT), DC (= DC1, 2), DEE (=DEE1, 2), DH (=DHH, DHC, DHG, DHF), DIS (=DIB, DST, DBV, DGC), DS (=DSS, DSB), DT (=DT1, 2), DVM (=DV, DM), unless otherwise indicated. All mutations are defined relative to clones (Cl.) and restriction maps given in Warwick and Black (1991). Length mutations (insertion = Ins.; deletion = Del.) are given for only one enzyme. Taxa codes for other species (Warwick and Black 1991) include: BB (B. barrelieri), BC (B. rapa), BD (B. deflexa), BF (B. fruticulosa), BG (B. gravinae), BN (B. nigra), BO (B. oleracea), BX (B. oxyrrhina), BRV (B. rupestris-villosa complex), BT (B. tournefortii), CC (Coincya monensis), ES (Eruca sativa), EC (Erucastrum canariense), HI (Hirschfeldia incana), RRS (Raphanus sativus and R. raphanistrum), SA (S. alba), SO (S. aucheri), SP (S. pubescens), SR (S. arvensis), RE (Reboudia microcarpa)

Site	C 1.	Mutation	Taxa	Site Cl.	Mutation	Таха
Ban	ıHI-			BamHI-		
31	12	10.6 + 6.2 - 16.8	DS	42 16	1.2 + 1.2 - 2.4	DIS
-	14	Ins. 0.4: 4.6 – 5.0	DEE, DCS	47 19	9.2 - 5.9 + 3.3	SR, BN, DBR
38	18	1.3(1.5) + 1.8 - 3.1(3.3)	BF, DT, DC, DSX	47A 19	9.2 - 8.5 + 0.7	DĆA, DCR, DVV
39	18	1.8+2.8 [5.2]-4.6 (7.0)	BN, DEE	50 19	9.2+2.7 (9.1)-11.9 (18.3)	BC, DHH, DHC, DHF
40	18	2.8 [4.6] + 2.6 - 5.4 (7.0)	SR, BT, DEE	51 19	9.1 - 2.7 + 6.4	BC, BO, BRV, DHG
	18	Del. 0.2: 2.6–2.4	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB,	54 20	3.4 - 2.5 + 0.9	BF, DBR
			BG, BRV, BX, BD, ES,	- 20	3.4-3.6	DH, DCS
			DT, DC, DSX, DVM,	56 21	1.2 + 4.0 - 5.2	DBR
			DH, DEE, DCS			

846

Appendix (Continued)

Appendix (Continued)

Site	Cl.	Mutation	Таха	Site	Cl.	Mutation	Таха
RelL				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
22	12	2.3+4.8 (9.7)-7.1 (12.0)	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BF, BT, BB, BRV, BD, ES, FC, DT, DC, DSX	25	10	10.7-7.2+3.5	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BRV, BD, DT1, DC, DSX, DH, DEF, DCS
23	12	9.7-4.8+4.9	DH, DEE, DCS, DAT, DVA, DS SA, BN, HI, EC, CC, DIS, DAT, DBR, DVS,	27	12	2.1 - 1.8 + 0.3	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BRV, BD, EC, DT, DC, DSX, DVM, DH, DEE, DCS, DAT, DBR,
25 A 30	14 18	4.3 - 2.2 + 2.1 [16.3] - 4.3 + 12.0	DVA, DBE, DS DIS EC, DAT, DVS, DVA,	28	12	2.1 + 0.9 - 3.0	DCA, DCR, DVV, DVS, DVA, DBE, DS SP, BT, HI, DBR,
31 32	18 18	[16.3] - 14.0 + 2.3 2.3 $[19.8] + 0.5 - 2.8$ (20.3)	DS SA, SR, BN, BF, DIS DIS, DCA, DCR, DVV	29 37	12 14	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 - 0.7 + 0.2 \\ 3.3 + 2.5 - 5.8 \end{array}$	DCA, DCR, DVV CC, DVM, DH BC, BO, BRV, DEE,
33	18	0.5 + 1.8 - 2.3	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BF, BB, BRV, BX, BD, DEF, DCS	38 41 A	14 14	2.5 - 1.6 + 0.9 1 2 - 0 7 + 0 5	DCS, DBR BT, DT, DC, DSX, DH DAT DVA DS
37	19	2.0+10.0-12.0	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BF, BB, BRV, BX, BD,	45	17	9.1 - 3.6 + 5.5	ES, DT, DC, DSX, DHH, DHC, DHG
39 42	19 19 20	10.0 - 6.5 + 3.5 5.5 - 1.0 + 4.5	DEE, DCS RE, DH DT1 RP, DEE, DAT, DVA	49	18	7.0-4.7+2.3	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB, BRV, BX, BD, ES, DT, DC, DSX, DVM, DH,
43 44 48	20 20 20 21	5.3 + [5.1] - 10.0 [5.1] - 3.6 + 1.5 1.6 - 1.7 1.6 + 1.4 - 3.0	SP, BT, DBR BC, BO, BRV, DVS DEE DCS	49 A 50 52	18 18 18	2.3 - 1.6 + 0.7 7.0 [10.0] - 5.7 + 1.3 (4.3) [5 1] + 0.8 - 5.9	DEE, DCS DH BF, DVV SO BG BPV PE EC
10	21	1.0 1.4 5.0	<i>DEE</i> , <i>D</i> 00	54	10	[5.1] + 0.6 - 5.9	DBR
Bg/I 3A	- 10	20.0 - 9.7 + 10.3	DH	54 54A 55	19 19 19	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 + 1.7 - 2.4 \\ 1.7 - 1.3 + 0.4 \\ 1.7 + 1.7 - 3.4 \end{array}$	DBE DCA, DCR, DVV DEE, DCS, DVS
зв 4	10	[27.2] - 13.5 + 13.9 [27.2] - 20.0 + 7.2	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB, BG, BRV, BX, BD, ES, DT DC DSX DVM	56	19 19	1.7, 1.7 - 1.8, 1.6 1.7 + 2.2 - 3.9	DVM SR, BN, BF, BT, BX, HI, DIS
5A	11	42.0 - 20.0 + 22.0	DH DH	56A 57 57A 57B	19 19 19 19	[8.2] - 1.2 + 7.0 2.2 [3.9] + 6.0 - 8.2 (9.9) [8.2] - 3.0 + 5.2 6.0 - 4.9 + 1.1	DVS Sr, Bt, Dt, DC Dat, DVA DBE
BglI	I-			58 59 A	19 19	6.0 - 3.6 + 2.4 20 - 13 + 07	DEE DIS
27 28 30 36 37 A 42	12 12 12 14 14 14	0.8 + 5.1 - 5.9 5.1 - 3.3 + 1.8 3.0 - 2.8 7.0 - 5.0 + 2.0 9.0 - 1.0 + 8.0 4.6 + 2.2 - 6.8	SP, B1, BD, CC, DBR EC, DAT, DVA, DS BB, BG, ES, DVM EC, DAT, DVA, DS DBE DEE2	59B 60	19 19 19	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.3 \\ -1.1 \\ +0.2 \\ 2.0 \\ +9.4 \\ -11.4 \end{bmatrix} $	DST, DBV, DGC SP, SR, BN, BT, BRV, BD, RE, ES, EC, CC, DT, DC, DSX, DHH, DHC, DHF, DEE,
43 44	18 18	2.2 + [3.0] - 5.2 $2.0 + 1.0 - 3.0$	BT, EC, DAT, DVA, DS SP, SO, BT, EC, DVM, DAT, DVA, DS	63	20	4.8+2.6-7.4	DCS SP, RRS, BT, BG, ES, DVM, DVS
	19	Del. 0.3: 4.3-4.0	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB, BG, BRV, BX, BD, ES, DT, DC, DSX, DVM, DH, DEE, DCS	66 67	21 22	$2.6 + 1.6 - 4.2 \\ 1.6 + 0.5 - 2.1$	DBR SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB, BG, BRV, BX, BD, RE, DT, DC, DSX, DVM, DU, DEE, DCS, DS
46 52 55	19 19 19	$\begin{array}{c} 4.0 + 2.4 - 6.4 \\ 0.8 + 0.7 - 1.5 \\ 1.0 + 2.7 - 3.7 \end{array}$	ES, DH BT, DVS, DBE SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB, BRV, BX, BD, RE, ES, CC, DT, DC, DSX, DVM, DH, DEE, DCS	Dral 33 35 36 37A	10 10 10 10 10	0.5 + 3.2 - 3.7 3.2 - 1.5 + 1.7 3.2 - 1.9 + 1.3 3.2 - 2.4 + 0.8	DYM DEE, DCS, DT2 BG, DBE DH
BstE 11 12A 13	EII- 12 14 14	7.5 + 9.2 - 16.7 5.0 - 2.1 + 2.9 5.3 + 18.0 - 23.3	ES, DH DS DIB	38 42 42 A 43 -	10 12 12 12 12	3.2 - 2.6 + 0.6 2.8 + 1.7 - 4.5 1.7 - 1.0 + 0.7 1.7 - 1.1 + 0.6 1.2 - 1.1	SP, HI, DBR DIS DBR, DBE SR, DEE, DCS DCA, DCR

Appendix (Continued)

Site	Cl.	Mutation	Таха
Dral	-		
45	12	1.2 + 2.0 - 3.2	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB,
			BD, DT, DC, DSX,
			DVM, DH, DEE, DCS
45 A	12	2.0 - 1.1 + 0.9	DAT, DVS, DVA, DBE,
			DSB
_	12	[3.2] - 2.8	BC, BO, DHC
47	12	2.0 + 0.8 - 2.8	SP, DBR
48 A	13	5.2 - 3.2 + 2.0	DAT, DVA
50	14	5.2 + 5.2 - 10.4	SP, BT, HI, DBR
50A	14	[2.3] - 1.4 + 0.9	DIS
20 B	14	[2.3] - 2.0 + 0.3	DCA, DCR, DVV
52	14	5.2 - 2.3 + 2.9	BC, BO, BR V, DI, DC,
.	1.4	1201 24:05	DSX, DH
55 51	14	[2.9] - 2.4 + 0.3	DEE, DEE, DES
54 55	14	12 07 + 05	BN DCA DCP DVV
55	17	1.2 - 0.7 + 0.5	DRF DS
50	17	85 - 28 + 57	SA DVM
59 A	17	8.5 - 3.0 + 5.5	DCS
50	17	8.5 - 3.5 + 5.0	DT. DC. DSX
51 A	18	[3.5] - 0.7 + 2.8	DCA, DCR. DVV
52	18	[3.5] - 3.0 + 0.5	SA, SR, BN, BF, BG.
	-		DIS
65	18	1.6 + 1.7 - 3.3	DVM
56A	18	1.7 - 0.9 + 0.8	DCA, DCR
59	18	1.7 - 1.4 + 0.3	SP, DBR, DBE
71	18	1.4 + 1.8 - 3.2	ES, DT, DC, DSX
71 A	16	[3.2] - 2.0 + 1.2	DT2, DSX
72	19	1.8 + 1.1 - 2.9	SP, BC, BO, BT, BRV
			ES, HI, DEE, DCA,
			DCR, DVV, DVS
74	19	0.5 + 4.0 - 4.5	DVM, DBR
/4A	19	4.0 - 2.3 + 1.7	DIS
/4B	19	4.0 - 2.5 + 1.5	
/4C	19	4.0 - 3.2 + 0.8	DCS, DCA, DCK,
70	10	21 28 62	DYY DST DBV DGC
/0	19	2.4 + 5.6 - 0.2 24 38 - 30 32	DT DC DSX DH
_	19	2.4, 5.8 - 5.0, 5.2 24 38 - 41 21	DCS
79	19	38(35) - 23(20) + 15	BX DAT DVA DBE
, ,	17	5.0 (5.0) 2.5 (2.0) 1 1.5	DS
81	19	0.8 + 0.9 - 1.7	BN, DH, DAT, DVA.
-			DBE, DS
85	20	1.1 + [2.0] - 3.1	DVM
86	20	3.0 - 2.0 + 1.0	SO, BC, BO, BG, BRV
			BX, BD, ES, CC, DT,
			DC, DSX, DVM, DH
			DEE, DCS
39	20	1.2 - 1.0	DEE, DCS
10A	20	1.9 - 1.0 + 0.9	DH, DBE
<i>¥</i> 2	20	[1.6 + 0.7] - 2.3	SO, BC, BO, RRS, BB
			BG, BKV, BX, BD, ES
			DI, DC, DSX, DVM,
0.2	20	22 19 105	DH, DEE, DCS
73 D/ A	20	2.3 - 1.8 + 0.5	BC, BO, DH
94 A 05	20 21	1.3 - 1.2 + 0.3 15 + 18 32	BB DSA DA2
,)	∠1	1.0+1.0-0.0	DD, D3A
Ecol	RI-		
33	10	1.4 + 0.7 - 2.1	SO, BC. RRS. BO. BR
			BRV. BX. BD. RE. ES

Appendix (Continued)

Site	Cl.	Mutation	Taxa
EcoF	Ч -		
			EC, DT, DC, DSX,
			DCS. DST. DBV.
			DGC
34	10	0.7 + 0.6 - 1.3	SP, DBE
38	10	2.4 [1.4]+2.7-5.1 (4.1)	SP, BT, BD, HI, EC,
20 1	10	[50] 08142	DBR
39	12	[5.0] = 0.8 + 4.2 2.7 + 2.3 - 5.0	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB
0.5		217 1 210 010	BG, BRV, BX, BD, ES,
			HI, EC, DT, DC, DSX,
20.1	4.0	2 2 1 1 0 2	DVM, DH, DEE, DCS
39 A	12	2.3 - 1.4 + 0.9 20 - 18	DIS SO BC BO BG BPV
_	14	2.0-1.0	ES. DT. DC. DSX.
			DVM, DH, DEE, DCS
-	12	1.9-2.0	DAT, DVA
49	14	0.9 + 0.8 - 1.7	SP, BO, BF, BG, BD,
52	14	74-06+68	DBK SA DIS DVS
55	17	7.4 + 7.5 - 14.9	EC. DAT. DVA. DS
_	18	2.4-2.1	SP, BC, RRS, BO,
			BRV, BX, ES, EC,
			DVM, DH, DEE,
			DCS, DAI, DBK,
			DVS. DVA. DBE. DS
64 A	16	4.5 - 1.3 + 3.2	DVM, DCA, DCR,
			DVV
69	19	1.6 + 2.8 [1.3] - 4.4 (2.9)	BF, BB, BX, EC, DGC,
			DVV DVS DVA
			DBE, DS
69 A	19	[4.4] - 4.0 + 0.4	DVS, DBE
70	19	2.8 - 1.3 + 1.5	SO, BC, BO, RRS, BG,
			BRV, BD, ES, DI, DC,
			DSX, DVM, DEL, DCS
71	19	2.8+2.7 (5.0)-5.5 (7.8)	BN, BB, BX, DEE,
			DIS, DAT, DCA, DCR,
70	10	50 07 1 42	DVV, DVA
12	19	5.0-0.7+4.5	BC, BO, BKV, DEE, DCS
74	19	5.0 - 2.7 + 2.3	BN, BT, CC, DBE
74 A	20	2.3 - 2.0 + 0.3	DBE
-	20	0.6-0.4	DIS
/8	20 21	1.9 + 1.8 - 3.7 16 - 15	HI, DI, DC, DSX
85	$\frac{21}{22}$	[1.9] - 1.0 + 0.9	SO, BC, RRS, BO
		F=12] 110 013	BRV, BX, BD, RE, ES.
			EC, DT, DC, DSX,
			DH, DEE, DCS, DAT,
	1.4	38 37	DVA, DS DAT DVA DS
_	14	1.1, 1.6 - 1.0, 1.4	DVM
21	14	2.3 - 2.0 + 0.3	SA, SR, BN, BF, DIS
26	14	1.1 + 1.6 - 2.7	BB, DBR
26A	14	1.6 - 1.0 + 0.6	DVS DC DVM
29	18	0.0-0.4+2.2	BG, DVM

848

Appendix (Continued)

Appendix (Continued)

Site	Cl.	Mutation	Taxa	Site	Cl.	Mutation	Таха
EcoR	RV-			NciI	-		
31 A	18	2.0 (10.2) - 0.5 + 1.5 (9.7)	DAT, DCA, DCR, DVV, DVS, DVA, DBE, DS	70	19	[12.6] - 3.2 + 9.4	RRS, BT, BB, BG, BRV, BX, ES, DT, DC, DSX, DVM, DH,
32	18	2.0+8.2 [8.7]-10.2 (10.7)	SA, BG, DT, DC, DSX, DEE, DCS, DIS, DAT, DVA DS	72 72 A	19 19	9.4 - 5.4 + 4.0 9.4 - 7.7 + 1.7	DEE, DCS DT, DC, DSX DCS
32 A	18	[87] - 32 + 55	DVS	73 A	20	5.0 - 2.7 + 2.3	DSS
32B	18	8.2 - 4.3 + 3.9	DBE	_	20	Ins. 0.4: 5.0-5.4	DT, DC, DSX
35	19	[2.3] (10.2) + 0.5 - 2.8 (10.7)	SP, DEE, DCS, DVS	75	20	1.6 + 0.4 - 2.0	RE, EC, DAT, DVS,
	19	Del. 0.2: 0.8-0.6	DVS				DVA, DBE, DS
39 A	20	11.0 - 2.8 + 8.2	DEE2	PstI	-		
40	20	11.0 - 7.0 + 4.0	BB, DT, DC, DSX	6A	. 10	13.0 - 9.5 + 3.5	DS
_	20	1.3-1.1	BRV, BX, BD, ES, DEE, DCS, DH	7	12	13.0+2.6-15.6	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB, BG, BRV, BX, BD, RE,
43	20	9.0+4.5-13.5	BT, BB, BX, ES, DBR				ES, DT, DC, DSX, DVM, DH, DEE,
Hina	<i>t</i> III-			0		0.6.46.6.40.0	DCS, DBE
25	12	6.8 - 4.8 + 2.0	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB,	8	12	2.6 + 16.6 - 19.2	BB, BG, CC, DBE
			BG, BK V, BA, BD, ES,	12	21	[304] - 244 + 60	FS DH DCS
			DH DEE DCS	15	21	[30.4] - 28.4 + 2.0	SO. BC. RRS. BO. BB.
26	12	6.8 + 13.0 - 19.8	DBR			[····] -···	BG, BRV, BX, BD, DT,
28	11	13.0 + 9.0 - 22.0	SA, SR, BN, DIS				DC, DSX, DVM, DH,
31	18	2.8 + 2.6 - 5.4	DCS				DEE, DCS
34A	19	7.0 - 4.0 + 3.0	DIS DGA DGB DWV	16	21	2.0 + 1.6 - 3.6	DVM
34 B	19	7.0 - 5.0 + 2.0	DCA, DCK, DVV				
30	19	3.3 - 2.1 (2.3) + 1.2 21 - 23	DSX	Sacl	[-		
_	19	Del. $0.2: 1.6 - 1.4$	DH	5A	. 10	[3.0] - 1.8 + 1.2	DIB
-	19	Ins. 0.1: 1.6–1.7	DCA, DCR, DVV	28	10	5.5 - 3.0 + 2.5	DIS, DAI, DCA, DCK, DVV DVS DVA
42	20	3.0 + 3.5 - 6.5	DEE, DCS				DBR. DBE. DS
43A	20	7.0 - 1.2 + 5.8	DSX	6	12	5.5 + 9.0 - 14.5	DCS
NciI	-			12	18	11.5 + 1.05 - 12.6	DS
32	10	4.3 + 2.1 - 6.4	DT, DC	15	19	15.0 - 5.0 + 10.0	DT, DC, DSX
37A	12	[2.3] - 1.2 + 1.1	DIS DAT DCA DCB	15A	. 19	15.0 - 11.8 + 3.2	DH
39	12	[2.3] + 2.7 - 5.0	DAI, DCA, DCK, DVV DVS DVA	С	r		
			DBE, DS	SCU.	10	120 201110	DC BD DT2 DVM
40	12	5.4 - 0.5 + 4.9	BG, DVM, DBE	11 14	10	15.0 - 2.0 + 11.0 43 + 50 - 93	DVM
41	12	2.7 + 2.7 - 5.4	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB,	18	14	1.0 + 4.6 - 5.6	RRS, BT, BG, DT, DC,
			BG, BRV, BX, BD, RE,				DSX, DBR
			ES, DI, DC, DSX,	19A	. 18	14.0 - 9.6 + 4.4	DH
42	14	54 + 19 - 73	DCA DCR DVV	22	19	2.2 + 9.0 - 11.2	SR, BN, DAT, DVA, DS
47	14	[2.3] - 1.8 + 0.5	SA, SR, BN, BF, RE,	23	19	9.0 - 3.8 + 5.2 1 2 + 3 0 4 2	BG, DVM DT DC DSY DBE
			CC, DIS, DVS, DBE,	25 26 A	19	1.2 + 3.0 - 4.2 14.0 - 2.1 + 11.9	DHC, DHG, DHF
			DS	26B	19	14.0 - 2.8 + 11.2	DVM
48 A	14	3.7 - 3.2 + 0.5	DCA, DCR, DVV	26C	19	14.0 - 7.0 + 7.0	DAT, DVA, DS
50	11	2.7 - 0.5 + 2.2	BG, DI, DC, DSX DE DY EC DAT				
52	17	2.7+1.3-4.0	DVA DS	StuI	-		
56	18	1.7 - 0.85 + 0.85	DT, DC, DSX, DCA, DCR, DVV, DS	10A 11	12 13	$6.5 - 3.5 + 3.0 \\ 6.5 + 15.0 - 21.5$	DCA, DCR, DVV SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB,
58	18	3.6 - 2.8 + 0.8	BG, DEE				BG, BRV, BX, BD, ES,
62 A	18	2.2 - 1.6 + 0.6	DVM				DT, DC, DSX, DVM,
64	19	1.1 + 1.1 - 2.2	DAT, DVA	15	19	66-30+36	DEE, DCS
08	19	14.9-2.3+12.0	BG BRV BX RD FS	16	19	6.6+6.5-13.1	DCA, DCR. DVV
			DT, DC, DSX, DVM,	19	20	8.5 + 4.3 - 12.8	BB, BG, DVM, DAT
			DH, DEE, DCS	22	21	1.4 + 9.0 - 10.4	BC, BO, RE, DCA

• •

Appendix (Continued)

Site	Cl.	Mutation	Таха		
XbaI-					
17·	10	2.6 + 4.1 - 6.7	DT, DC, DSX		
18A	10	1.5 - 0.9 + 0.6	DSB		
19A	12	6.7 - 0.5 + 6.2	DIS		
23	12	6.5 + 0.9 - 7.4	BG, DT1, DC, DVM		
24	14	0.9 - 0.5 + 0.4	SP. DCA. DCR. DVV		
27	14	2.4 + 16.4 - 18.8	DBR. DBE		
28	14	164 - 28 + 13.6	DEE, DCS		
28 A	14	164 - 36 + 128	DVM		
32 4	18	[26] - 17 + 09	DVS		
33	18	43 - 26 + 17	SA SR BN DIS DAT		
55	10	4.5 2.0 1.7	DCA DCR DVV		
			DVS DVA DBE DS		
22 4	10	[17] $14+0.2$	DOA DOP DVV DS		
33A	10	[1, 7] - 1.4 + 0.5	DCA, DCK, DVV, DS		
33	19	(4.1) + 1.0 - 5.1	$DC_{\rm C}$ DPE		
201	4.0	[4 0 + 4 7] 2 0 + 0 5	DCS, DBE		
35A	18	[1.0+1./] - 2.2 + 0.5	DBE		
38	19	1.1 + 4.0 - 5.1	DBR, DBE		
40	19	4.0 + 7.0 - 11.0	DCS		
40A	19	7.0 - 5.6 + 1.4	DVS		
40 B	19	7.0 - 5.8 + 1.2	DCA, DCR, DVV		
40 C	19	7.0 - 5.9 + 1.1	DSX		
43	20	[4.7] - 2.2 + 2.5	BC, BO, DEE, DCS		
45	20	1.8 - 1.1 + 0.7	SO, BC, RRS, BO,		
			BRV, BX, DT, DC,		
			DSX, DVM, DH,		
			DEE, DCS, DAT,		
			DCA, DCR, DVV,		
			DVS, DVA, DBE, DS		
	20	1.8, 1.6-1.9, 1.5	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB,		
			BRV, BX, ES, DT, DC,		
			DSX, DH, DEE, DCS		
49	20	1.7 - 0.9 + 0.8	SO, BC, RRS, BO, BB,		
			BRV. BX. ES. DT. DC.		
			DSX. DH. DEE. DCS		
50	20	17 + [35] - 52	HI DBE		
51	20	[34] + [18] - 52	HI DHH DAT DCA		
51	20	[5.4] + [1.0] 5.2	DCR DVV DVA DS		
53	20	06 + 37 - 43	SA SR BN BE DIS		
55	20	0.0 + 5.7 - 4.5	54, 54, 54, 54, 51, 515		
Xho	I-				
8	10	4.1 + 5.8 - 9.9	DT, DC, DSX, DBE		
11	14	9.8 - 5.8 + 4.0	BG, DT, DC2, DVM		
13	14	9.8 - 9.0 + 0.8	BB, DVS		
14	14	[4.0] + 11.1 - 15.1	DT, DC, DSX		
16	18	11.1 + 20.0 - 31.1	DVS, DBE		
17	19	$20.0 \pm 15.0 \pm 35.0$	EC DAT DVA DS		
20	20	$2.2 \pm 6.5 \pm 8.7$	SO BC RRS BO BR		
20	20	2.2 0.0 0.7	BRV BX RD CC		
			DEF DCS		
21	21	65-28+37	FC DAT DVA DS		
<u> </u>	<u>~1</u>	0.5 - 2.0 + 5.7			

References

- Al-Shehbaz IA (1985) The genera of Brassiceae (Cruciferae: Brassicaceae) in the southeastern United States. J Arnold Arbor Harv Univ 66:279-351
- Bòlos O, Vigo J (1974) Notes sobre taxonomia i nomenclatura de plantes. 1. Bull Inst Catal Hist Nat 38:61-89

- Erickson LR, Straus NA, Beversdorf WD (1983) Restriction patterns reveal origins of chloroplast genomes in *Brassica* amphidiploids. Theor Appl Genet 65:201–206
- Gómez-Campo C (1980) Morphology and morphotaxonomy of the Tribe Brassiceae. In: Tsunoda S, Hinata K, Gómez-Campo C (eds) *Brassica* crops and wild allies. Japan Scientific Societies Press, Tokyo, pp 3–31
- Gómez-Campo C (1981) Studies on Cruciferae. VIII. Nomenclatural adjustments in *Diplotaxis* DC. An Jardin Bot Madrid 38:29-35
- Gómez-Campo C (1984) Studies on Cruciferae. XI. Erucastrum ifniense Gómez-Campo, sp. nov., and its allies. An Jardin Bot Madrid 41:83-85
- Gómez-Campo C (1990) A germplasm collection of Crucifers 1990 list. Col Catalogos I.N.I.A. 22:3–53
- Gómez-Campo C, Hinata K (1980) A check list of chromosome numbers in the Tribe Brassiceae. In: Tsunoda S, Hinata K, Gómez-Campo C (eds) *Brassica* crops and wild allies. Japan Scientific Societies Press, Tokyo, pp 51–63
- Greuter W, Burdet HM, Long G (eds) (1986) Med-checklist, Vol. 3, Dicotyledones. Cruciferae. Conservatoire et Jardin Botaniques de la Ville de Genève, OPTIMA, Geneva, pp 34-172
- Harberd DJ (1976) Cytotaxonomic studies of *Brassica* and related genera. In: Vaughan JG, MacLeod AJ, Jones BMG (eds) The biology and chemistry of the Cruciferae. Academic Press, London, pp 47–68
- Harberd DJ, McArthur ED (1972) The chromosome constitution of *Diplotaxis muralis* (L.) DC. Watsonia 9:131-135
- Harberd DJ, McArthur ED (1980) Meiotic analysis of some species and genus hybrids in the Brassiceae. In: Isunoda S, Hinata K, Gómez-Cambo C (eds) (*Brassica* crops and wild allies. Japan Scientific Societies Press, Tokyo, pp 51–63
- Hosaka K, Kianian SF, McGrath JM, Quiros CF (1990) Development and chromosomal localization of genome-specific DNA markers of *Brassica* and the evolution of amphidiploids and n=9 diploid species. Genome 33:131-142
- Maire R (1965) *Diplotaxis*. In: Maire R (ed) Flore de l'Afrique du Nord 12. Enc Biol 67:256-302
- Martínez-Laborde JB (1988) Estudio sistemático del género Diplotaxis DC. (Cruciferae, Brassiceae). Unpublished PhD thesis, Polytechnic University of Madrid, Spain
- Martínez-Laborde JB (1990) On previous misuses of the name Diplotaxis pitardiana Maire (Cruciferae: Brassiceae). Taxon 39:117-118
- Martinez-Laborde JB (1991 a) Notes on the taxonomy of *Diplo*taxis DC. (Brassiceae). Bot J Linn Soc 106:67-71
- Martínez-Laborde JB (1991 b) *Diplotaxis harra* (For skal) Boiss. in Europe. Bot J Linn Soc 106:97-119
- Martínez-Laborde JB (1991 a) Two additional species of *Diplotaxis* DC. (Cruciferae, Brassiceae) with n=8 chromosomes. Willdenowia: in press
- Mizushima V (1980) Genome analysis in *Brassica* and allied genera. In: Tsunoda S, Hinata K, Gómez-Campo C (eds) *Brassica* crops and wild allies. Japan Scientific Societies Press, Tokyo, pp 89–105
- Palmer JD (1987) Chloroplast DNA evolution and biosystematic uses of chloroplast DNA variation. Am Nat 130 [Suppl.]: 6-29
- Palmer JD, Shields CR, Cohen DB, Orton TJ (1983) Chloroplast DNA evolution and the origin of amphiploid *Brassica* species. Theor Appl Genet 65:181-189
- Schulz OE (1919) Cruciferae-Brassiceae. Part 1. In: Engler A (ed) Pflanzenr. IV. Wilhelm Engelman, Leipzig 105 (Heft 70):1-290
- Song KM, Osborn TC, Williams PH (1988a) Brassica taxonomy based on nuclear restriction fragment length polymor-

phisms (RFLPs). 1. Genome evolution of diploid and amphidiploid species. Theor Appl Genet 75:784-794

- Song KM, Osborn TC, Williams PH (1988b) Brassica taxonomy based on nuclear restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs). 2. Preliminary analysis of subspecies within B. rapa (syn. campestris) and B. oleracea. Theor Appl Genet 76: 593-600
- Song KM, Osborn TC, Williams PH (1990) Brassica taxonomy based on nuclear restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs).
 Genome relationships in Brassica and related genera and the origin of B. oleracea and B. rapa (syn. campestris). Theor Appl Genet 79:497-506
- Takahata Y, Hinata K (1983) Studies on cytodemes in subtribe Brassicinae (Cruciferae). Tohoku J Agric Res 33:111-124
- Takahata Y, Hinata K (1986) A consideration of the species relationships in subtribe Brassicinae (Cruciferae) in view of

cluster analysis of morphological characters. Plant Sp Biol 1:79-88

- Tutin TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM, Webb DA (1964) Flora Europea. Cruciferae, Vol. 1:260-275. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Warwick SI, Black LD (1991) Molecular systematics of *Brassica* and allied genera (subtribe Brassicinae, Brassiceae) – chloroplast genome and cytodeme congruence. Theor Appl Genet 82:81–92
- Yanagino T, Takahata Y, Hinata K (1987) Chloroplast DNA variations among diploid species in *Brassica* and allied genera. Jpn J Genet 62:119-125